arXiv:1511.03226v2 [math.NT] 12 Nov 2015

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF DIVISORS OF $x^n - 1$

SAI TEJA SOMU

ABSTRACT. Let $a(r,n)$ be rth coefficient of nth cyclotomic polynomial. Suzuki proved that $\{a(r,n)|r \geq 1, n \geq 1\} = \mathbb{Z}$. If m and n are two natural numbers we prove an analogue of Suzuki's theorem for divisors of $x^n - 1$ with exactly m irreducible factors. We prove that for every finite sequence of integers $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ there exists a divisor $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{deg(f)} c_i x^i$ of $x^n - 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_i = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $H(r,n)$ denote the maximum absolute value of rth coefficient of divisors of $x^n - 1$. In the last section of the paper we give tight bounds for $H(r,n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The nth cyclotomic polynomial($\phi_n(x)$) is given by

$$\phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Mobius function. $\phi_n(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree $\phi(n)$. $x^n - 1$ can be factored in the following way

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(x). \tag{2}$$

Let $A(n)$ denote the largest coefficient of $\phi_n(x)$. Bateman in [2] proved the following inequality

$$A(n) \leq n^{2^{k-1}}, \tag{3}$$

where k is the number of distinct odd prime factors. $A(n)$ has been investigated in papers [10],[3] and [6]. For a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, let $H(f)$ denote the absolute value of largest coefficient of f. Pomerance and Ryan in [7] introduced the function

$$B(n) := max\{H(f) : f|(x^n - 1)\}. \tag{4}$$

They obtained a tight estimate for $B(n)$ and proved that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log\log B(n)}{\log n/\log\log n} = \log 3. \tag{5}$$

Let $d(n)$ denote number of divisors of n. Thompson in Theorem 1.2 of [12] has proven that for any function $\psi(n)$ defined on natural numbers such that $\psi(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$B(n) \leq n^{d(n)\psi(n)} \tag{6}$$

for a set of natural numbers of density 1.

Date: October 21, 2015.

The function $B(n)$ has been the subject of the papers [5] and [9]. If $\phi_n(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\phi(n)} a(r,n)x^r$, Suzuki in [11] proves that $\{a(r,n)|r \geq 1, n \geq 1\} = \mathbb{Z}$. We prove an analogue for divisors of $x^n - 1$ in the second section. In the third section we prove that for every finite sequence of integers $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ there exists a divisor $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{deg(f)} c_i x^i$ of $x^n - 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_i = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, let $(f)_r$ denote the rth coefficient of f. Then $H(r,n)$ is defined in the following way

$$H(r,n) = max\{|(g)_r| : g|(x^n - 1)\}. \tag{7}$$

In the last section of the paper we give an upper bound for $H(r,n)$ in terms of number of divisors of n and then we show that the upper bound cannot be improved significantly.

2. An analogue of Suzuki's Theorem

If m and n are two natural numbers then there exists a divisor $f(x)$ of $x^l - 1$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with exactly m irreducible factors such that $(f)_r = n$ and $(f)_s = -n$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove the following proposition in this section.

Proposition 2.1. *Let n and m be two natural numbers then there exists a divisor $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{deg(f)} a_i x^i$ of $x^l - 1$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with exactly m irreducible divisors such that $\{-n, \cdots, 0, \cdots, n\} \subset \{a_1, \cdots, a_{deg(f)}\}$.*

We follow the proof of Suzuki [11]. We require the following lemmas for proving Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let t be any integer greater than 2. Then there exist t distinct primes $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_t$ such that $p_1 + p_2 > p_t$.*

Proof. Let $\pi(n)$ be prime counting function. From the prime number therem one can see that $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\pi(2n) - \pi(n)) = \infty$. Hence for a large n we can choose t distinct primes between $(n, 2n]$ and the t primes picked from the set $(n, 2n]$ clearly satisfy the hypothesis. □

Lemma 2.3. *If p is a prime.*
If $p|n$ then $\phi_{np}(x) = \phi_n(x^p)$.
If $p \nmid n$ then $\phi_{np}(x) = \frac{\phi_n(x^p)}{\phi_n(x)}$.
If $n > 1$ is odd then $\phi_{2n}(x) = \phi_n(-x)$.

Proof. All the three statements can be proved from (1). □

Proof of Proposition 2.1

Proof. Choose an odd t strictly greater than $n+1$ and from Lemma 2.2 there exist t primes $p_1 < \cdots < p_t$ such that $p_1 + p_2 > p_t$. Let $p = p_t$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i$.

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_N(x) &= \prod_{d|N}(1-x^d)^{\mu(\frac{N}{d})} \\ &\equiv (1-x)^{-1}\prod_{i=1}^{t}(1-x^{p_i})(\mod x^{p+1}) \\ &\equiv (1+x+\cdots x^p)(1-x^{p_1}\cdots - x^{p_t})(\mod x^{p+1}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p} c_i x^i(\mod x^{p+1}),\end{aligned}$$

where $c_i = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le i < p_1 \\ 1-k & p_k \le i < p_{k+1} \\ 1-t & i = p. \end{cases}$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{2N}(x) &= \phi_N(-x) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p} c_i(-1)^i x^i(\mod x^{p+1}).\end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\{-n,\cdots,0,\cdots,n\} \subset \{-c_1,\cdots,c_p(-1)^p\}$. Hence Proposition 2.1 is true for $m = 1$. Choose a prime p' greater than p, from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\phi_{2Np'}(x)\phi_{p'}(x) = \phi_{2N}(x^{p'}).$$

Hence the set $\{-n,\cdots,n\}$ is a subset of set of coefficients of $\phi_{2Np'}(x)\phi_{p'}(x)$. Hence the proposition is true for $m = 2$. Choose square free numbers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$ and $n_1' < \cdots < n_k'$ such that each n_i has exactly two distinct prime factors and each n_i' are prime and every prime factor of n_i and n_i' is greater than $2Np'$. From (1), one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{2N}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\phi_{n_i}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\phi_{n_i'}(x) &\equiv \phi_{2N}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-x)\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-x)^{-1} \\ &\equiv \phi_{2N}(x)(\mod x^{2N}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proposition is true for $m = 2k+1$. For $m = 2k$ consider the product

$$\phi_{2Np'}(x)\phi_{p'}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\phi_{n_i}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\phi_{n_i'}(x) \equiv \phi_{2Np'}(x)\phi_{p'}(x)(\mod x^{2Np'}).$$

Hence the proposition is true for $m = 2k$ for $k \ge 2$ which completes the proof of the proposition. □

For every natural number r the set $\{|a(r,n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ remains bounded and let $C(r)$ denote the maximum value of the set. $C(r)$ has been studied by Erdős in [4]. Bachman in [1] has obtained the following asymptotic formula

$$\log C(r) = C_o\frac{\sqrt{r}}{(\log r)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log r}{\sqrt{\log r}}\right)\right). \tag{8}$$

However, this is not true for rth coefficients of divisors of x^n-1. The rth coefficent of divisors of x^n-1 are unbounded. In fact more can be said about the first r coefficients of divisors of x^n-1.

Theorem 2.4. *For a given finite sequence of integers $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{r}$ there exists a divisor $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{deg(f)} a_i x^i$ of x^l-1 for some l such that $a_i=n_i$ for $1\leq i\leq r$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 2.4

The following Lemma is needed for proving Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there exist two sequences of polynomials $\{d_m^{(n)}(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_m^{'(n)}(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ where $d_m^{(n)}(x)$ and $d_m^{'(n)}(x)$ are divisors of $x^{l_m}-1$ and $x^{l'_m}-1$ respectively for some $l_m, l'_m\in\mathbb{N}$ and have the following properties.*
(1)$gcd(d_{m_1}^{(n)}, d_{m_2}^{(n)})=1$ for $m_1\neq m_2$.
(2)$gcd(d_{m_1}^{'(n)}, d_{m_2}^{'(n)})=1$ for $m_1\neq m_2$.
(3)$gcd(d_{m_1}^{(n)}, d_{m_2}^{'(n)})=1$ for all m_1 and m_2.
(4)$d_m^{(n)}(x)\equiv 1-x^n(\mod x^{n+1})$.
(5)$d_m^{'(n)}(x)\equiv 1+x^n(\mod x^{n+1})$.

Proof. From (2)

$$1-x^n=-\prod_{d|n}\phi_d(x).$$

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of all square free numbers with exactly two prime factors such that each of the prime divisor is strictly greater than n. From (1), if $1<d\leq n$ then

$$\phi_{n_i d}(x)\equiv\phi_d(x)(\mod x^{n+1}).$$

If $d=1$ then $\phi_{n_i d}(x)\equiv-\phi_1(x)(\mod x^{n+1})$. Hence for every m

$$\prod_{d|n}\phi_{n_m d}(x)\equiv 1-x^n(\mod x^{n+1}).$$

From (2), we have

$$\frac{(x^{2n}-1)}{x^n-1}=1+x^n=\prod_{\substack{d|2n\\ d\nmid n}}\phi_d(x).$$

Thus, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{d|2n\\ d\nmid n}}\phi_{n_m d}(x)\equiv 1+x^n(\mod x^{n+1}).$$

Define

$$d_m^{(n)}(x):=\prod_{d|n}\phi_{n_m d}(x)$$

and

$$d_m^{'(n)}(x):=\prod_{\substack{d|2n\\ d\nmid n}}\phi_{n_m d}(x).$$

Clearly, $\{d_m^{(n)}(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{d_m^{'(n)}(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy properties (1) to (5). □

Proof of Theorem 2.4

Proof. The proof is by induction on r. For $r = 1$ and for some $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n_1 = 0$, let $f(x) = d_1^{(2)}(x) \equiv 1 + n_1 x(\mod x^2)$, if $n_1 > 0$ let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} d_i^{'(1)}(x) \equiv 1 + n_1 x(\mod x^2)$ and if $n_1 < 0$ let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{-n_1} d_i^{(1)}(x) \equiv 1 + n_1 x(\mod x^2)$. Hence the theorem is true for $r = 1$.
Let us assume the theorem is true for $r = k$. For a sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{r+1}$ from our assumption there exists a divisor $f'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{deg(f')} a_i x^i$ of $x^{l'} - 1$ for some l' such that $a_i = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.
If $a_{r+1} = n_{r+1}$ set $f(x) = f'(x)$.
If $a_{r+1} > n_{r+1}$ set

$$f(x) = f'(x) \prod_{i=1}^{a_{r+1}-n_{r+1}} d_{n_{j_i}}^{(r+1)}(x) \equiv a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} n_i x^i (\mod x^{r+2}),$$

where $d_{n_{j_i}}(x)$ are chosen such that they are relatively prime to $f'(x)$.
If $a_{r+1} < n_{r+1}$ set

$$f(x) = f'(x) \prod_{i=1}^{-a_{r+1}+n_{r+1}} d_{n_i}^{'(r+1)}(x) \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} n_i x^i (\mod x^{r+2}),$$

where $d'_{n_{j_i}}(x)$ are chosen such that they are relatively prime to $f'(x)$. Since the divisors are relatively prime to $f'(x)$, $f(x)$ is a divisor of $x^l - 1$ for some l. Hence the theorem is true for $r = k + 1$ which completes the proof of the theorem. □

4. Upper and Lower bounds on $H(r, n)$

We give an upper bound for $H(r, n)$ in terms of number of divisors of n.

Theorem 4.1. *For a given natural number n there exists a constant $c(r)$ only depending on r such that*

$$H(r, n) \leq \frac{1}{2^r r!} d(n)^r + c(r) d(n)^{r-1},$$

where $d(n)$ is number of divisors of n.

Proof. Let n be a natural number. From (2)

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(x).$$

Any divisor $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ will be of the form

$$f(x) = \prod_{m \in S} \phi_m(x),$$

where S is a subset of set of all divisors of n. From (1)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \prod_{m\in S}\prod_{d|m}(x^d-1)^{\mu(\frac{m}{d})} \\ &= \prod_{d|n}(x^d-1)^{s_1(d)-s_2(d)} \\ &\equiv \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d\le r}}(x^d-1)^{s_1(d)-s_2(d)}(\mod x^{r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(d) &:= |\{m\in S : d|m, \mu(\frac{m}{d})=1\}|, \\ s_2(d) &:= |\{m\in S : d|m, \mu(\frac{m}{d})=-1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|s_1(d)-s_2(d)| \le \frac{d(n)}{2}$, the coefficients of $f(x) \mod x^{r+1}$ are dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= (\prod_{i=1}^{r}(1-x^i)^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{d(n)} \\ &\equiv (\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i x^i)^{d(n)}(\mod x^{r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. When $(\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i x^i)^{d(n)}$ is expanded using multinomial theorem, coefficient of x^r will be

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i x^i)^{d(n)})_r &= \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_r=d(n) \\ i_1+2i_2+\cdots+ri_r=r}} \frac{d(n)!c_1^{i_1}c_2^{i_2}\cdots c_r^{i_r}}{(d(n)-i_1-i_2-\cdots-i_r)!i_1!\cdots i_r!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r r!}d(n)^r + O(d(n)^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(f(x))_r \le \frac{1}{2^r r!}d(n)^r + c(r)d(n)^{r-1},$$

for some constant $c(r) > 0$. Thus, $H(r,n) \le \frac{1}{2^r r!}d(n)^r + c(r)d(n)^{r-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

An immediate consequence of this theorem is the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2.

$$H(r,n) \le (1+o(1))n^{\frac{r(\log 2+o(1))}{\log\log n}}).$$

Proof. This follows from the theorem of Ramanujan [8] that

$$d(n) \le n^{\frac{(\log 2+o(1))}{\log\log n}}).$$

□

Now we show that the inequality can be reversed for infinitely many n.

Theorem 4.3. *There exists a sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$H(r, n_k) \geq \frac{1}{2^r r!} d(n_k)^r - c_1(r) d(n_k)^{r-1}$$

and $d(n_k) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ where $c_1(r)$ is a constant only depending on r.

Proof. Let n_k be the product of first k primes with $k \geq r$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x) &= \prod_{\substack{m|n_k \\ \mu(m)=1}} \phi_m(x) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{m|n_k \\ \mu(m)=1}} \prod_{d|m} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(\frac{m}{d})} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{m|n_k \\ \mu(m)=1}} \prod_{d|m} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(d)} \\ &= \prod_{d|n_k} \prod_{\substack{m \equiv 0 (\mod d) \\ \mu(m)=1}} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\{m|n_k : m \equiv 0(\mod d), \mu(m) = 1\}| = 2^{k-v(d)-1}$ for $d|n_k$ and $d \neq n_k$, where $v(d)$ denotes number of distinct prime factors of d, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x) &\equiv \prod_{d \leq r} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(d) 2^{k-v(d)-1}} (\mod x^{r+1}) \\ &\equiv \left(\prod_{d \leq r} (1 - x^d)^{\mu(d) 2^{-v(d)-1}} \right)^{2^k} (\mod x^{r+1}) \\ &\equiv (\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i x^i)^{d(n_k)} (\mod x^{r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$. Expanding $f_k(x)$ using multinomial theorem we can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i x^i)^{d(n)})_r &= \sum_{\substack{i_0 + \cdots + i_r = d(n_k) \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \cdots + r i_r = r}} \frac{d(n_k)! c_1^{i_1} c_2^{i_2} \cdots c_r^{i_r}}{(d(n) - i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_r)! i_1! \cdots i_r!} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^r}{2^r r!} d(n_k)^r + O(d(n_k)^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a constant $c_1(r)$ independent of k such that.

$$|(f_k(x))_r| \geq \frac{1}{2^r r!} d(n_k)^r - c_1(r) d(n_k)^{r-1}.$$

Thus,

$$H(r, n_k) \geq \frac{1}{2^r r!} d(n_k)^r - c_1(r) d(n_k)^{r-1}.$$

□

Since n_k is product of first k primes. We have $d(n_k) = n_k^{\frac{\log 2 + \epsilon_k}{\log \log n_k}}$ for some ϵ_k and $\epsilon_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *For every r, there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$H(r, n_k) \geq (1+\epsilon_k')n_k^{\frac{r(\log 2+\epsilon_k)}{\log\log n_k}},$$

as $k \to \infty$, $\epsilon_k \to 0$ and $\epsilon_k' \to 0$.

References

1. G. Bachman, *On the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomial*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 106, no. 510 (1993).
2. P. T. Bateman, *Note on the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 1180-1181. MR 0032677 (11,329e)
3. D. M. Bloom, *On the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 75, 372-377 (1968).
4. P. Erdős and R. C. Vaughan, *Bounds for the r-th coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1974), 393-400. MR 0357367 (50 #9835).
5. N.Kaplan, *Bounds for the maximal height of divisors of x^n-1*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2673-2688.
6. H. Maier, *The size of the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials, Analytic number theory*, Vol. 2 (Allerton Park, IL, 1995), Progr. Math., vol. 139, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996, pp. 633-639. MR 1409383 (97g:11106)
7. C. Pomerance, N.C. Ryan, *Maximal height of divisors of $x^n - 1$*, Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007) 597-604.
8. S. Ramanujan, *Highly composite numbers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **14** (1915),347-409.
9. N.C. Ryan, B.C. Ward and R. Ward, *Some conjectures on the maximal height of divisors of $x^n - 1$*, Involve **3** (2010), 451-457.
10. R. C. Vaughan, *Bounds for the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials*, Michigan Math. J. **21** (1974), 289-295 (1975). MR 0364141 (51 #396)
11. J. Suzuki, *On coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials*, Proc. Japan Acad., 63, Ser. A, 279-280 (1987).
12. L. Thompson, *Heights of Divisors of $x^n - 1$*, Integers, **11A** (2011), Article 20.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee,India 247667